Har. 2314.

Mowbray House
2, Northwick Park Rd
Harrow, Middlesex
Friday 29 April, 1966.

Dearest René

I've been thinking a lot about you & am terribly sorry for not writing once since I saw you last November & now it's nearly the end of Aprille with his showres sote. How are you? I wonder if you can get hold of & read an essay by Nora K. Chadwick called Intellectual Contacts between Britain & Gaul in the Fifth Century. It is chapter VIII in a book called Studies in Early British History; Cambridge Univ. Press, 1954. I tried to get a copy for you some years back but the blood thing was out of print then. But I think you must get a copy for Cork University or somewhere. It's an interesting collection of essays altogether, but this one on these Gallo-Roman contacts with Britain and Ireland in the 5th Cent — (a far but matter probably in the later part of the 4th) is terribly interesting on a matter of exceptional interest and has been terribly neglected especially by English scholars. Of is becoming increasingly evident that Gallo-Roman refugees went direct by sea (well naturally!) to southern Ireland and to south-west & north-west Wales. The evidence is only to be found in obscure fragments & chance references — perhaps just the name of a person or some scrap indication of one sort or another — very little direct evidence from Welsh objects, evidence of a more substantial nature for Continental writers & from certain early Irish sources.

I'm saying this not only for what Nora Chadwick has to say, but for the bits of things that I've read since reading her thing some years back. This influence is not confined by any means to monastics or strictly Xtian matters, but also secular literary conventions & what not. Properly and exhaustively examined

P.S. Have you come across a Czech called Sociology? He is a Protestant in the Ecumenical movement. His book is the best work on inland water transport in the Americas. His book published last year, 1965, by Donnellan Calder the Technological Society, translated from the French, is an exhaustive investigation into the modern phenomenon of human activity, and the whole positivistic attitude to Science, but is very penetrating & worth reading. It's not concerned with the Czech in particular, but was obviously written with him in mind. 50 much to mention — the extract I've sent you is easily the most readable, while I have the gravest doubts about the relevance of his religious views — for example, while I have the gravest doubts about Teilhard's stuff in the realm of fancy & imagination, I'm so glad you understand him. I like Ellul calling Teilhard's stuff "a Being of reality for it's without substance". It's dissociated is a way, and like, a even then any way we mean it.

NB not read any of this — but must get & post. D

it seems to me ~~that~~ ~~it~~ something amounting to Chadwick might say this would require research of a specialized nature eg chaps of all sorts familiar with all those late Latin writers such as Ausonius and Sidonius Apollinaris & lesser known blokes, also a thorough acquaintance with early Welsh – both language & archaeological fragments, also with all the Irish deposits and a lot more besides – chance remarks for chaps of all that late Roman period & so on.

~~The~~ People – English historians especially, Haverfield created a pattern of the Anglo-Saxon invasion of Britain closing the Boulogne – Calais route & then the Southampton – le Havre route, the flight of British refugees from the south & south-west coast of Britain to Brittany was taken as natural, but Thalassic journeyings the other way, from the coast of Gaul or sub-Roman Gaul: direct to Ireland and also to the Bristol Channel & to Caernarvonshire never occurred to chaps, for such seems certainly to have been the case.

It's full of most interesting possibilities. For one thing, as I, & totally I suppose, early 'Druidism' as a cult was very certain way some of it continued underground and ~~the~~ during the Imperial period famous Roman 'rhetoricians', ~~who~~ were very frequently Gauls and people like Ausonius mention chaps whom they knew, very cultivated literati, who were of the 'druidic' tradition. Anyway, one way & another there is evidence of highly sophisticated Gallo-Roman chaps (including high class girls) in certain districts in Gaul – & it would seem that some of these in face of the bloody confusion of Visigoth Vandals, ~~Sueves~~ & other Germanic invaders 'took an ocean trip' (not in a government ship) to find refuge in Ireland & also to western Britain. But it's more of the influence on Irish literary forms that is bloody interesting. Kuno Meyer, in a lecture in Dublin in ~~1911~~ appears to have 1912

and of course in Britain there was very little straight use to Anglesey to exterminate the cult mess & became chaps in Gaul were to come to Britain to be trained.

* I don't mean that these chaps bore any resemblance to the order of druids of ~~the~~ some centuries previously – i Caesar's time. But were ~~the~~ parts of the Romano-Hellenistic culture but retaining ~~some~~ things in the Gaulish-Celtic tradition.

~~They~~ were grammatici & rhetorici. The Irish called rhythmical composition (not metrical poetry) retoricc and Meyer & this is true is true

therefore cicus sermo.

Must Sidonius in Gaul

known something about this, and I imagine you could get hold of a copy of that lecture. I've never seen it, only seen it referred to.

~~The~~ Kuno Meyer paper is called Learning in Ireland in the Fifth Century (published in Dublin in 1913) and the German Celticist, Professor Zimmer, left some unpublished papers giving interesting information of Irishmen (monks) on the continent a good bit earlier than the 6th or subsequent centuries when we know they abounded. Mansuetus bishop of Toul in the middle of the 4th Cent is said to have been an Irishman or Irish rank.

But what I wanted to tell you was an example of literary formula, as it were, coming through from Classical convention in Gaul & affecting Irish-Celtic & Welsh-Celtic prose-tales. It is pretty astonishing just this one example. I'll give them in reverse order — you know my favourite Welsh tale: 'Culhwch & Olwen', which it discusses. Olwen, the giant's daughter, coming in - and it says roughly that she was dressed in a flame-red gown or sheath [with] a torque round her neck was a torque of gold & pearls & rubies set in the torque. Her hair was more yellow than the broom-flower and her skin was more white than wave-foam, - her fingers & the palms of her hands were white as the marsh-trefoil that shoots up [at its] fine gravel [of a stream] [with] head-waters]. Not the eye of a mewed hawk nor of a falcon thrice-mewed, nor any eye was fair bright as hers. The breast of the swan was less white than her two breasts, her two cheeks were more red than foxgloves*. That is I think 13th Cent Welsh MS, but is known to be a redaction of a much earlier version - 9th Cent it is reckoned.

Now, in a much earlier Irish tale called 'The Courtship of Ferb' this occurs: 'Long, curling & yellow was this hair. Proud & glowing were his eyes, blue, clear as crystal. Like to the foxglove of the mountain

*The Welsh word used is ffion, it's a masculine plural noun meaning red flowers, but is always used of foxgloves. In Lady Guest's Mabinogion it's translated 'roses', but that's quite wrong. Valerie's second daughter is called Ffion (pronounced fee-on) She, Valerie, tried me she names call me chief, if it was a girl, Ffion because although no one has been called Ffion before she said she was sure she had seen it somewhere. So I said, well, I'd never heard of it as a Welsh proper name.

I think is modern Welsh it would read Oeddi cochach [illegible] but that's not the reference I was making)

Oedd (was) cochaech (reddish) fion (foxgloves)*

Olwen's cheeks: sheet in Middle Welsh (which is a sort of) Welsh text of Culhwch ag Olwen & there it was some kind of twisting & making [illegible] the women [illegible] I forget what it was also forgotten what it was called in Welsh & also forgotten what it was called in Welsh

Oedd (was) cochaech (reddish) fion (foxgloves)*

that gave me reddy gold & pearls & rubies
and a lad forgotten what it was called in Welsh which it was
'one man, white' I could [illegible] it was
so for a while
'one man, white' I could [illegible] it was

but there it is with reference to the rudd (cheeks)

was each of his cheeks as you might fancy that a rain of pearls had fallen into his mouth & that his lips were twin branches of coral. White as new fallen snow of the night was his neck, and such was the fashion of his skin."

The ~~[illegible]~~ translation is that of A. H. Leahy (London, 1902 (Gor. at Lyons AD 430)

Now here is what Sidonius, writing of the ~~Visigothic~~ leader, Sigismer, says:

"With charming modesty ... went on foot amid his [mounted] body guard and footmen, in flame-coloured mantle, with much glint of ruddy gold: and gleam of snowy silken tunic, his fair hair, red cheeks & white skin according with the three hues of his equipment.

Bloody interesting is it not. The literary convention is in the 5th Cent. highly cultivated Gallo-Roman, describing their barbarian Visigoth & the resemblance in the Irish ~~description~~ description of the bridegroom in the Courtship of Ferb & recurring again the much later Welsh description of the bride, Olwen, can't be accidental, can it.

Another thing noted by Kuno Meyer in the same paper is in a 12th Latin MS at Leiden, it is apparently a glossary of Latin words, & it contains the following with reference to events of the 5th Cent period of the invasions of Goths, Vandals etc:

"All the learned men on this side the sea fled away and in transmarine parts, i.e. Hiberia, and wherever they betook themselves, brought rather a very great advance of learning to the inhabitants of those regions".

Mrs Chadwick says that Kuno Meyer found this reference among the papers of Professor Zimmer after the latter's death.

Anyway I thought it might interest you and then you might be able to get in Ireland this Kuno Meyer publication of 1913.

Another thing which Nora Chadwick mentions

is this ~~historic~~ 'hisperic' ~~stuff~~ Latin which developed in the 5th & 6th century in which all sorts of Greek, Hebraic, Celtic & all sort of word-forms were used. There are extant four copies of a work called 'Western Sayings', (Hisperica Famina) She says that ~~empty~~ suggests that the original version was made in Ireland or in Britain.

My interest in this is ~~mist~~ in the middle of ~~World War II~~, that remarkable man who was Keeper of the V & A. Mus. — Sir Eric MacLagan (can't spell it) asked ~~to~~ come & see him, his son had just been killed in the Air Force (I think) & it happens that the (the son) was my friend of In Parenthesis, & Sir Eric wanted to see me & tell me about it & also ask me about the book. During dinner he asked me if I had studied or knew about these 'hisperic' writers of the sub-Roman century as he had had some of them at Cambridge. (he was himself learned in a very unobtrusive way) and thought that Joyce & perhaps myself had been influenced by them. I, of course had never heard of them & he said well, my many perhaps have, but the thought them very interesting ones that tries to pursuade student of literature to study them.

Well, long after that, in 1952 or '53 I had a letter from Tiger Dawson who said he had enjoyed The Anathemata, and ~~asked me if~~ said he supposed I was writing in much the same way as the hisperic Latin of the ~~Celtic~~ period & the Greek-ish of the Western empericum. You know how the Tiger took it for granted that one has read everything in Chinese classics or 500 BC to Racine ~~&~~ or Sexton Blake or Louis Mumford or Einstein or Ethel M. Dell. Well, I was afraid I had only heard of hisperic Latin & that was all. But I thought it interesting that both Xtopher D. & Sir. Eric MacLagan both supposed a possible connection between the stuff & the Hisperica Famina.

Thus hisperic Latin has been seen referred to by academic scholars once or twice who make no fones about their irritation. I say I some chap or the Beard mercifully he escapes the absurd bastardized obscurity of the hisperic writers — that sort of thing.

Must stop. so much I want to say.
Must get some of these newly published books
on the Easter Rising 1916. Have read my review
of some of them. There was a wonderful good
3rd Programme thing ~~about~~ a few days ago, with
the descriptions of some of the survivors.
It was indeed incredibly interesting. The astonishing
bravery of the chaps — unconscious bravery & in
every case with equally astonishing humour — it's
like nothing else I can think of. I was particularly
struck by an incident when one of the
chaps involved at an absolutely crucial juncture
missed a train because the clock in some
place in Dublin was five minutes slow & when
he managed to get back in great mixing at
having lost this train, all the other blokes said
was 'And does not everybody know that that
clock has been five minutes slow for as long
as can be remembered' I can quote the exact
words. But just said casually as though it were
a bit of a joke. I just can't conceive of an
Englishman or a Frenchman, & certainly not a
German!, or, for that matter a Welshman,
taking it like that, can I?

Been re-reading, a number of times, just lately
John Collier's 1935 novel Tom's A'Cold.
It is a ~~fine~~ terribly interesting book.
I wonder what happened to Collier. No one
now has ever heard of Tom's A'Cold.
Can't seem to get anything done. Write
millions of letters & stuff - bloody deeply
worried still about all this Chirico thing.
I can't get it right any more. At moment
have a kind of cist ~~on~~ something on my
bottom - same place as I had it about
18 months ago. also a bit of a 'Knashing
great boulder or a tooth' That thing
Tom being wrote in the 20th Cent. review is
July 1960, called Reconnoitring D.J.
is by a very long way the best thing
ever written about my stuff. I've
just re-read it. & also the one in
The Studio just after my Tate Exhibition.
I am grateful to Tom. Can't write more
now, — a lot of boring stuff to see
to & no inclination to deal with it.
Can't seem to enjoy anything any more.
Some bloke wants me to contribute to
the thing called Mid-century Authors, — it's
American & a sort of Who's Who but with

the chaps themselves writing this own bit about
themselves. Like a bloody fool I promised I would contribute
saying I'd do it Friday — or in that matter at length.
The I forgot all day Friday & was busy with stuff & then
I shall be off on Thursday & the day before that
I suppose the only thing I do is get on & start
packing. Nikolay G. wrote to me the other day telling
want to see it's since wrote to me in the meantime
Windsor, Nikolay G. asks me either Well,
staying two days, I mean would Rowland & Robin. &
off to Joan & Dave.
of course to Tom. Dave.